# Water Quality and the Animas River Stakeholders Group

Animas River Protection Group Sept. 22, 2011

# FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT(CLEAN WATER ACT)

- Goal to make all waters fishable and swimmable
- Do not impair States' ability to allocate water
- State standards can not be more lenient than Federal Standards
- Explicitly excludes irrigation return flows from needing point-source permits Implicitly excludes ground water
- COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL ACT
  No material injury to water rights
  Implicitly includes ground water

 WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION (WQCC)
 Nine members appointed by the Governor, confirmed by the State Senate

- Sets Use Classifications, Standards, Regulations and
   Policy for protecting the state's waters
- WATER QUALITY CONTROL DIVISION (WQCD)
- Acts as staff to the Commission
- Implements Commission regulations including issuing discharge permits in order to meet Use Classification and Standards

#### • IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

- Oil and Gas Conservation Commission
- Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety
- Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division
- Division of Oil and Public Safety
- Department of Agriculture
- State Engineer

#### • STREAM SEGMENTS

Determined by topography, geology, water quality, jurisdictional boundaries, stream flow characteristics, temperature , aquatic life, etc.

#### • USE CLASSIFICATIONS

 Determined by what uses are present or are expected or anticipated to be present in the future
 Agriculture, Aquatic Life (Class 1 or 2, cold or warm), Recreation, Water Supply, Wetlands

#### • STANDARDS

- Designed to protect the Use Classifications
- Standards set to protect the most vulnerable use

#### Hydrothermal Alteration With Stream TMDL's



Data Sources: Stream TMDUs, Artimas River Stateholder's Group (Hydrohennal Alexator Map, Dana Bove at USGS) DBM, USGS; Streams, Lakes, Roads, Countes & Ottes, C DOT.

4 Miles 0 2

# Presumption under CWA

 Rivers, streams and lakes can meet the fishable and swimmable goal of the CWA unless there is evidence showing otherwise.

## Metal Loading Processes to Rivers and Streams

### Natural versus Mining-related

Formation of the Animas River Stakeholders Group (ARSG) in 1994

• Threat of Superfund Designation from EPA

Threat of Strict Water Quality Standards from Colo.
 Water Quality Control Commission

### **Collaborative Process**

Federal Agencies: BLM, USFS, USGS, EPA, BOR State Agencies: DOW, WQCD, HWMD, DRMS, Colo. Geological Survey

Local Government: San Juan Co, SWCD, Silverton, Durango, So. Utes

Other Entities: Sunnyside Gold, San Juan RC&D, Silver Wing Mines, Salem Minerals, TUSCO, Gold King Mining, San Juan Minerals, Shenandoah Mining, Mining Remedial Recovery, Trout Unlimited, San Juan Citizens Alliance, Friends of the Animas River, San Juan Historical Society, Mountain Studies Institute, Animas Water Co, River Watch, Trust for Land Restoration, Anglo-Saxon Properties

### Animas River Stakeholders Group

# www.animasriverstakeholders.org & www.goodsamaritaninfo.org

### Natural Geological Acid Rock Drainage

### Acid Mine Drainage









# Mine Waste Acid Rock Drainage



# **ARSG** Approach

 Characterize abandoned and inactive mine sites (~185 draining mines and ~200 mine waste piles sampled )

Determine feasibility of remediation of sites and prioritize top sites

Propose water quality standards based on remediation feasibility

• Remediate sites.

# Sunnyside and Gold King Mines

 Permitted mines were excluded from ARSG characterization (considered point sources and regulated in 1995)

ARSG took no position concerning SGC consent decree

### Dept. of Interior Animas AML Program (National Pilot Program)

- Stream characterizations
- Geologic and geomorphologic mapping
- Help ed with mine site characterization
- Stream tracer studies

# **Characterization Factors**

 Quantify Metals leaving site or potential to leave (mine waste leach tests)

Biological potentials:

- Distance to receiving stream
- Slope gradient & aspect
- Kill zones present
- Annual precipitation

Remediation Feasibility:
Geology and geomorphology
Access
Utility availability
Potential to divert, bury, etc.
Evaluation of treatment methods, cost, and potential reductions anticipated

### **Remediation Feasibility** (DRMS Feasibility Reports + ARSG Evaluations)

 Mine Drainages
 Active treatment (85%) too costly

Source controls (50%)

Bulkhead (50%)

Passive Treatment (30%)
Anoxic limestone drain
Oxic limestone drain
Various wetland treatments

Bio-reactors

Mine Wastes Capping (25%) Amending (10%) Removal & cleanup (90%)Hydrological controls (20%)Consolidation (10%) Hydrol. + Amend. = (30%)Hydrol. + Amend. + Cap =(55%)

# Use Attainability Analysis 2001

Site Specific Water Quality Standards Based upon Remediation of 33 Mine Waste Sites and 32 Draining Mines

## Water Quality Standards Recommendations

Subtract the Feasible Metal Loading Reductions from the Current Metal Load

Base Standards off of the Remaining Load

Recommendations were Adopted by WQCC in 2001

#### Hydrothermal Alteration With Mine Discharge Sites



Data Sources: Mine Discharge Data, Animas River Stakehokier's Group; Hydroherm al Aleration Map, Dana Bove al USGS; DEM, USGS; Steams, Lakes, Roads, Countes & Cites, CDOT.



#### Hydrothermal Alteration With Mine Waste Rock Sites



Data Sources: Mine Waste Rock Data, An Imas River Stake to kier's Group; Hydro thermal Alteration Map, Dana Bove at USGS; DEM, USGS; Steams, Lakes, Roads, Countes & Cittes, CDOT.





About 50 Mine Remediation Projects Have Been Completed

Most of the Mine Waste Sites Have been Completed

Only about 5 Draining Mines Have Been Addressed

Liability Concerns – Lack of Good Samaritan Provision

# **Draining Mine Liability**

•Draining Mines Are Considered Point Sources

•Good Samaritan Provision

•ARSG Has Had Its Own Legislation Introduced Twice

Waiting For a Good Samaritan Provision

CHARLES FEETEN

# Results

Mineral Creek – Improvements in Water Quality Animas above Cement Creek – Mixed Results Cement Creek – Water Quality Substantially Worse Animas below Silverton – Water Quality Worse (Baker's Bridge Data)

#### Dis Zn at M<sub>34</sub>



#### Dis Cu at M<sub>34</sub>



#### Trec Fe at M<sub>34</sub>



#### Annual Dis Zn Conc and Flow at CC48



1991 1993 1995 1997 1999 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009

# Sunnyside Consent Decree 1995

Place Bulkheads in the Mine and Eventually Stop Treatment

Monitor Zinc Concentrations below Silverton

Treat Remaining Drainage from American Tunnel

Treat N. Fork of Cement Creek

Remediate Other Sites in the Basin

# **Outcome of Consent Decree**

•Water Table in the Sunnyside Mine was Raised ~ 1,000 Vertical Ft

•Millions of Dollars Were Spent on Remediation

•Consent Decree Ends in Jan. 2003, Treatment Plant Turned over to a Small Mining Co.

•Treatment Continued for Approx. One Year and Then Stopped

 Discharge Increases from Mogul, Gold King #7, and Red & Bonita before or around the End of the Consent Decree

# **Discharges** Around Gladstone

Sunnyside Gold Treated 1200 – 1600 gpm from American Tunnel

Current Untreated Discharges: American Tunnel: 80-140 gpm Mogul: 50-115 gpm Gold King #7: 160-250 gpm Red & Bonita: 220-340 gpm + Some other new small drainages

Total: New Untreated Drainage: 510 – 845+ gpm

# Dissolved Zinc Discharge from Adits (Low Flow)



# **Suggested Solutions**

Remove Some Bulkheads in American Tunnel to Draw Down Water Table & Treat Drainage

Pipe Discharges from the Four Big Discharges to a Treatment Plant Treat Part of Cement Creek near the Mouth near Silverton

Bulkhead the Four Big Drainages

Some Combination of the Above

**Suggestions to Make Solutions Happen** Sue Sunnyside Gold's Parent Company Bring in a Major Mining Company to Mine and Take Over All Treatment Incremental Approach: Start Treatment with a Technology Demonstration Facility (possibly thru BLM?) Designate Gladstone Area as a Targeted Superfund Site

Some Type of Collaborative Combination of the Above







### Longfellow Mine





# NPS 319 Infiltration Control Project Carbon Lakes Ditch (2003)

Sam Antonio Mine Dum



ings Below Ditch



### San Antonio Mine

Before

# After remediation



### Pride of West Stope Infiltration Control Project

Stream

Avalaŋche Path



### Kansas City Group Before Remediation

Fullmer Construction: Terry Roades operating on very steep slope!

#### Kansas City Group Following Remediation

and the last

### Vegetative Cover Moving in Kansas City Group, 2010



# Elk Tunnel (BLM)

Oxic Limestone Drain & Settling Ponds

### Lucky Jack Mine Wastes





Refore Remediation

### Lucky Jack Mine Wastes



#### 6 months later

